



Multi-electrode probe optimization for characterization of magnetic tunnel junction stacks

Cagliani, Alberto; Kjær, Daniel; Østerberg, Frederik Westergaard; Hansen, Ole; Nielsen, Peter F.; Petersen, Dirch Hjorth

Publication date:
2016

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Cagliani, A., Kjær, D., Østerberg, F. W., Hansen, O., Nielsen, P. F., & Petersen, D. H. (2016). *Multi-electrode probe optimization for characterization of magnetic tunnel junction stacks*. Poster session presented at 13th Joint MMM-Intermag Conference, San Diego, California, United States.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Multi-electrode probe optimization for characterization of magnetic tunnel junction stacks

Alberto Cagliani^{a, b}, Daniel Kjaer^b, Frederik W. Osterberg^{a, b}, Ole Hansen^a, Peter F. Nielsen^b and Dirch H. Petersen^a

^a Dept. of Micro and Nanotechnology, DTU Nanotech, Technical University of Denmark

^b Capres A/S

E-mail: Alberto.Cagliani@nanotech.dtu.dk




DTU Nanotech
Department of Micro- and Nanotechnology



One of the most important metrologies extensively used world-wide for evaluation of Magnetic Tunnel junction (MTJ) stacks is the current-in-plane tunneling (CIPT) technique¹. The CIPT method has been of fundamental importance in the development of MRAM technology in the past decade². Until now, the design of multi-electrode probes and choice of sub-probes have been based on a best guess practice. In this study, we perform a numerical optimization of the geometrical design of multi-electrode probes as well as optimal choice of subprobes. A drastic improvement in the measurement precision for the resistance-area product and the TMR is achieved.

CIPT working principle



CURRENT PROCEDURE: 8 sheet resistance measurements using 8 micro 4 point subprobes (M4P subprobes) corresponding to 8 different electrode pitches, regardless of the sample


OPEN QUESTIONS:

495 M4P subprobes can be formed in a M12PP, are we using the ones that guarantee the highest precision on RA and TMR for each MTJ sample?

Is it possible to optimize the relative positions of the electrodes for specific MTJ samples to maximize the precision on RA and TMR?

Measurement Precision prediction


By modeling the main noise sources of the CIPT measurement the precision, intended as the relative standard deviation (STD) on RA and MR, can be **PREDICTED** with a software tool. 4 different MTJ stacks and different probe geometries were tested.



M4P Subprobe sequence Optimization

Currently for every MTJ sample the same M4P subprobe sequence is used (STD sequence), with a electrode pitch ranging from 1.5 to 8.25 μm for a total of 8 M4P subprobes.


OPTIMIZATION: The optimization software is able to test thousands of subprobe sequences to identify the sequences that maximize the precision on RA and/or MR, given a specific MTJ sample.



Electrode position Optimization

Currently for every MTJ sample the same M4P subprobe sequence is used for a fixed M12PP geometry.

OPTIMIZATION: combining the optimization of the subprobe sequence and the optimization of the electrode positions an improvement up to 3 times can be achieved on the measurement precision of TMR and RA (for $\lambda = 0.68 \mu m$).



Bibliography

¹ D. C. Worledge and P. L. Trouilloud (2003). *Applied Physics Letters* **83**, 84.

² Kjaer, D., Hansen, O., Henriksen, H. H., Chenchen, J. W., Noergaard, K., Nielsen, P. F., and Petersen, D. H. (2015) *Measurement Science and Technology*, 26(4), 045602.